



EXECUTIVE PERSPECTIVE

# The Fintech Software Supply Chain Risk Brief

What boards, regulators, and bank partners now expect from every fintech.

For CEO, CFO, CISO, Chief Risk and Compliance Officer, and Board audiences

**JUNE 2026**

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

## Why this brief, and why now

Fintech runs on software the company did not write. Open source libraries, AI generated code, third party SDKs, payment partners, KYC vendors, cloud providers, and a long tail of small tools all sit inside the same regulated workload. Every one of them is now a regulator, board, and bank partner concern.

The numbers tell the story. Third party involvement in breaches doubled in a single year, from 15 percent to 30 percent of all breaches (Verizon DBIR 2025). Supply chain compromise is now the second most expensive breach vector at 4.91 million dollars per incident and takes 267 days to contain, longer than any other attack class (IBM Cost of a Data Breach 2025). And the volume of new CVEs crossed 48,000 in 2025, with FIRST projecting a median of roughly 59,000 in 2026 (NIST, FIRST 2026 Vulnerability Forecast).

This brief is built for the fintech C suite and board. It maps the threat surface, the regulatory and customer pressure stack, the cost of inaction, the maturity curve, and the questions a board should be asking the CISO and CTO this quarter.

## THE THESIS IN THREE LINES

- **Software supply chain risk is now a fintech board issue.** Regulators, bank partners, and enterprise customers are all asking the same question, and they expect continuous evidence, not annual attestations.
- **Point in time audits are no longer sufficient.** SOC 2, PCI DSS 4.0, and ISO 27001 prove that controls existed on one day. They do not prove that the software running today is safe.
- **Continuous, evidence backed visibility is the new bar.** Fintechs that can produce an SBOM, vendor risk posture, and audit ready evidence on demand will win deals, pass exams, and protect valuations. The rest will be a renewal risk.

## SECTION 1

## The new fintech threat surface

Modern fintech architecture is a stack of dependencies. A typical neobank, payment processor, or BaaS platform may run on hundreds of open source libraries, dozens of SaaS vendors, and an expanding layer of AI assisted code that no human reviewed before deployment. Each layer is a credible path into customer funds, regulated data, and core ledgers.

### Four risk surfaces every fintech now carries

1. **Open source dependencies.** Roughly 60 percent of breaches involved exploiting a known vulnerability for which a patch was already available (Verizon DBIR 2025). The CISA Known Exploited Vulnerabilities catalog grew by 245 entries in 2025 alone and now exceeds 1,480 entries.
2. **Third party vendors.** Third party involvement in breaches doubled to 30 percent in a single year (Verizon DBIR 2025). Supply chain breaches affected 1,251 organizations in 2025, nearly double the prior year (Identity Theft Resource Center).
3. **AI generated code and AI driven attacks.** About one in six breaches in 2025 involved an AI driven attack, averaging 4.49 million dollars in cost (IBM 2025). Roughly one in five packages recommended by AI coding models does not exist, opening a new attack class researchers named slopsquatting (USENIX Security 2025).
4. **Self replicating package malware.** In September 2025, CISA issued an emergency alert over a self replicating npm worm named Shai-Hulud that compromised more than 500 packages by harvesting developer and cloud credentials. A second wave in November 2025 backdoored roughly 796 packages with more than 20 million combined weekly downloads (CISA; Cloud Security Alliance research).

### Why fintech is uniquely exposed

Fintech sits at the intersection of three structural pressures. Customer funds and PII raise the breach blast radius. Bank sponsor relationships put a third party on the hook for the fintech's controls. And regulators across the US, UK, and EU have shifted from periodic exam to continuous expectation. A vulnerability that would inconvenience a SaaS company can revoke a fintech's bank charter relationship, freeze a payments license, or trigger an SEC disclosure obligation.

## SECTION 2

## The regulatory and customer pressure stack

Across the US, EU, and UK, regulators now expect a fintech to know what software it runs, where it came from, who maintains it, and how it changes over time.

Framework / Requirement	Who it applies to	What it now expects on software supply chain
<b>DORA (EU)</b>	EU financial entities and their ICT third parties	Register of ICT third party providers, continuous risk monitoring, incident reporting, and resilience testing of critical functions.
<b>PCI DSS 4.0</b>	Any fintech that stores, processes, or transmits card data	Inventory of software components, documented change management, secure software supply chain practices, and continuous validation of in scope systems.
<b>NYDFS Part 500</b>	NY licensed financial institutions and many fintechs by extension	Third party service provider security policy, asset inventory, vulnerability management, and 72 hour cybersecurity event notification.
<b>SEC Cyber Disclosure</b>	US public companies and fintechs eyeing IPO	Four business day Form 8-K disclosure of material cybersecurity incidents and annual 10-K disclosure of risk management and board oversight.
<b>FFIEC Guidance</b>	Banks, credit unions, and their fintech partners	Third party risk management lifecycle, ongoing monitoring, and architectural transparency in vendor relationships, including software components.
<b>SOC 2 / ISO 27001</b>	Most enterprise customers expect both	Point in time attestation of controls. Useful as a floor, not a substitute for continuous evidence on software composition and vendor posture.
<b>Bank Sponsor Diligence</b>	BaaS, neobanks, lenders, payment fintechs	Sponsor banks now demand SBOMs, vendor inventories, and continuous monitoring evidence as part of their own OCC and FDIC obligations.

Every framework has moved from periodic check to continuous expectation. A fintech that can produce a current SBOM, vendor risk posture, and CVE status inside an exam window has a structural advantage. A fintech that cannot will carry a documented gap into its next exam.

## SECTION 3

## The cost of getting it wrong

For a fintech, software supply chain risk shows up on the P&L in four ways: direct breach cost, customer attrition, regulatory action, and valuation impact at the next funding or M&A event. The current data:

- **4.91 million dollars.** Average cost of a supply chain compromise per incident, the second costliest breach vector (IBM Cost of a Data Breach 2025).
- **267 days.** Average time to identify and contain a supply chain breach, the longest of any vector (IBM 2025).
- **30 percent of breaches.** Now involve a third party, double the prior year share (Verizon DBIR 2025).
- **22 percent of breaches.** Begin with stolen credentials, often harvested from infostealer logs that already include fintech employees and contractors (Verizon DBIR 2025).
- **1 in 6 breaches.** Now involve an AI driven attack, averaging 4.49 million dollars per incident (IBM 2025).
- **9.22 million dollars.** Average breach cost in the United States in 2025, an all time high (IBM 2025).

### What this looks like for a fintech specifically

A direct breach cost number understates the impact. A fintech that suffers a software supply chain incident faces sponsor bank review, payment network scrutiny, possible state regulator action, customer churn priced into the next renewal cycle, and a tangible drag on the next priced round. A single high profile incident has historically taken 20 to 40 percent off a fintech's last private valuation. The cost of prevention sits well below this line.

## SECTION 4

## What good looks like: the maturity curve

Most fintechs sit somewhere on the curve below. The goal of this page is to let an executive team self diagnose in 60 seconds and identify the next stage to reach.

STAGE	HOW IT SHOWS UP	WHAT IS MISSING	WHAT IT COSTS
<b>STAGE 1</b> <b>Spreadsheet</b>	Vendor list in a shared sheet, updated annually. SBOM on request only.	No live software inventory. No continuous CVE awareness. No evidence trail.	Failed bank diligence, stalled enterprise deals, ad hoc audit scrambles.
<b>STAGE 2</b> <b>Periodic</b>	Annual SOC 2 and pen test. Quarterly vendor reviews. SBOM generated for major releases.	Months long blind spots between cycles. Vendor changes go unnoticed. AI generated code unreviewed.	Late discovery of critical CVEs. Slow incident response. Repeat audit findings.
<b>STAGE 3</b> <b>Continuous</b>	Daily software composition scans. Live vendor and SBOM dashboard. CVE alerts routed to owners.	Evidence is generated but not yet structured for board and regulator on demand.	Manual effort to compile audit packets. Limited board visibility.
<b>STAGE 4</b> <b>Evidence ready</b>	Continuous SBOM, vendor risk score, and CVE posture, with audit ready evidence and board dashboards.	Nothing material. Iterating on automation, attestation, and AI specific controls.	Faster enterprise deal cycles, stronger bank partner standing, defensible board narrative.

Most fintechs we encounter sit at stage 1 or 2. The shift from stage 2 to stage 3 is the single highest leverage move a CFO, CISO, or Chief Risk Officer can make this year. It changes audit cost, deal velocity, and board confidence at the same time.

## SECTION 5

## The TripleKey approach

TripleKey gives fintechs real time visibility into software risk and compliance. TripleScan runs daily across the codebases, dependencies, and vendors that touch regulated workloads. It produces a continuous SBOM, a Tech Risk Score from 0 to 100, prioritized CVE alerts, license conflict detection, and contributor risk analysis.

Executive ready dashboards translate technical findings into language a board, a bank sponsor, or a regulator can act on, without requiring a single technical credential to interpret. Vendor visibility is gained without damaging vendor relationships, since healthcare and fintech partners participate at no charge.

### Why this matters for fintech specifically

- **Continuous evidence, not annual attestation.** Move from stage 2 to stage 4 of the maturity curve without rebuilding the security stack.
- **Bank partner ready.** Produce SBOMs, vendor risk posture, and CVE status during sponsor diligence without scrambling.
- **Audit ready.** Compress PCI, SOC 2, NYDFS, and DORA evidence collection from weeks to hours.
- **Board ready.** Translate software risk into a single, defensible board narrative the CEO and CFO can present.

### Defensible by design

In October 2025, the United States Patent and Trademark Office granted TripleKey US Patent 12,455,973 B1 for a hardware bound encryption architecture. The patent reflects the broader TripleKey philosophy that the security boundary belongs outside the system being protected. Buyers can verify the granted patent on the USPTO public record. Term runs through approximately 2045.

## SECTION 6 . TEAR OUT PAGE

## Ten questions a fintech board should ask this quarter

Print this page. Bring it to the next audit or risk committee meeting. Ask the CISO, CTO, and Chief Risk Officer to answer each one with evidence, not opinion.

1. **Inventory.** Can we produce a current SBOM for every production system within one business day?
2. **Vendors.** Do we have a live list of every software vendor that touches regulated data, and a current risk posture for each?
3. **Known exploited vulnerabilities.** How many CISA KEV catalog entries currently exist in our environment, and what is the time to remediate?
4. **AI code.** What percentage of new code shipped last quarter was AI generated, and what controls exist for it?
5. **Open source maintainers.** Which open source dependencies in our stack have a single maintainer or no recent commits, and what is our exposure if they disappear or are compromised?
6. **Sponsor bank diligence.** If our sponsor bank asked for SBOMs and vendor posture today, how long would it take and what would they see?
7. **Incident readiness.** Can we meet the SEC four business day disclosure window and the NYDFS 72 hour notification window from current systems?
8. **Audit cost.** How many person hours did we spend on PCI, SOC 2, and bank exam evidence collection last year, and how would that change with continuous evidence?
9. **Board narrative.** Can we hand the board a one page software risk posture today, with trend data, that does not require a technical translation?
10. **Year over year.** Where will we be on the supply chain risk maturity curve in twelve months, and what investment does that require?

**NEXT STEP**

## Get a 30 minute fintech risk review

In 30 minutes, a TripleKey advisor will walk your team through a tailored view of the supply chain risk maturity curve, the regulatory exposure most relevant to your fintech model, and a no obligation read on where TripleScan would close the largest gaps.

Two ways to take the next step:

- Speak with a TripleKey team member.
- Sign up for a 14 day free trial.

**Get in touch:**

Web: [triplekey.com](https://triplekey.com)

## Scale With Confidence.

**Sources**

Verizon Data Breach Investigations Report (DBIR) 2025. IBM Cost of a Data Breach Report 2025 (with Ponemon). National Vulnerability Database, NIST, 2025 to 2026. FIRST 2026 Vulnerability Forecast, February 2026. CISA Known Exploited Vulnerabilities catalog and emergency alerts, 2025. Identity Theft Resource Center 2025 annual report. Cloud Security Alliance research on Shai-Hulud 2.0, November 2025. USENIX Security 2025 research on AI generated package hallucinations (slopsquatting). HHS Office for Civil Rights breach portal, 2025.